

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,160	12/20/2001	Katsushige Hata	112857-308	5620
29175 75	90 01/25/2005		EXAMINER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC			NGUYEN, CAO H	
P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
011101100, 12			2173	
			D. TE. 14. II ED. 01/06/0006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)		
		10/037,160	HATA ET AL.		
	Office Acti n Summary	Examin r	Art Unit		
		Cao (Kevin) Nguyen	2173		
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appropriate The Mail of t	pears n th cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRIENT STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (S) (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replained for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutionly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 C</u>	October 2004.			
		s action is non-final.			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disp sitio	on of Claims				
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application	on Papers				
10) T	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrective oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the Idea of the I	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
12)	acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies o	ts have been received. Is have been received in Application It documents have been receive It (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa			

Application/Control Number: 10/037,160

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liles et al. (US Patent No. 5,880,731) in view of Skelly (US Patent No. 6,064,383).

Regarding claim 1, Liles discloses an information processing device, comprising: a manager for managing data on feeling expressions of an avatar which correspond to a user's conditions [..Textual messages transmitted to the other participants can indicate what the user is saying or thinking, and alternatively, can indicate a related action or emotional condition of the user; see col. 10, lines 1-32]; a storage area for storing data on image displays of the avatar which correspond to the feeling expressions [..The visual frames portray different views of an avatar to produce a visual impression of an animation of the avatar when rapidly displayed in the sequence. In the script for each gesture, specific visual frames comprising the sequence and time intervals determining a duration for displaying each visual frame of the sequence are indicated.; see col. 3, lines 32-67]; and a display controller for controlling the image displays of the avatars based on the data stored in the storage area [...Each of the avatars that are presented to a user for selection in character selection dialog box 70 corresponds to a

Application/Control Number: 10/037,160

Art Unit: 2173

different bitmap file. Each of the bitmap files contains a predefined number of frames that represent the avatar in different poses and/or emotional states; see col. 7, lines 43-65]; however, Liles fails to explicitly teach managing data including a plurality of conditions and a plurality of levels associated with each condition wherein each of the feeling expressions are based on at least one of the conditions and one of the levels.

Skelly discloses managing data including a plurality of conditions and a plurality of levels associated with each condition wherein each of the feeling expressions are based on at least one of the conditions and one of the levels (see col. 5, lines 12-44). It would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide managing data including a plurality of conditions and a plurality of levels associated with each condition wherein each of the feeling expressions are based on at least one of the conditions and one of the levels as taught by Skelly to the use of avatar interaction on-line chat session of Liles in order to enable the user to select an emotion for graphical character and intensity of the emotion to be reflected in the appearance of the character by using an input device.

Regarding claim 2, Liles discloses, wherein the avatar exists in a virtual space constructed on a network, and the data on the feeling expressions are set when the user enters the virtual space (see figures 5-8).

Regarding claim 3, Liles discloses wherein the data managed by the manager are set in accordance with information of the user, the information being at least one of living

Application/Control Number: 10/037,160

Art Unit: 2173

body information and variation of expressions (see col.. 10, lines 1-32).

Regarding claim 4, Liles discloses further comprising a voice controller for controlling a tone of voices uttered from the avatar based on the data stored in the storage area (see col. 12, lines 34-49).

Regarding claim 5, Liles discloses manager manages the data on the feeling expressions based on a table in which types of feelings and levels thereof are associated with one another (see col. 13, lines 50-67).

Regarding claim 6, Liles discloses wherein the display controller controls a display including a motion picture of the avatar (see col. 14, lines 15-67).

Regarding claim 7, Liles discloses method for enabling a plurality of users to participate as respective avatars in a virtual space constructed on a network and to have conversations with other users, the method comprising the steps of managing data on feeling expressions of an avatar which correspond to a user's conditions; controlling storage of data on image displays of the avatar which correspond to the feeling expressions, and controlling the image displays of the avatar based on the storage of data on the image displays (see figures 1-9).

Regarding claim 8, Liles discloses further comprising the step of controlling the data on the feeling expressions of the avatar based on voices uttered by the avatar (see col. 15, lines 12-65).

As claims 9-15 are analyzed as previously discussed with respected to claims 1-8 above.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Accordingly, the claimed invention as represented even amended in the claims does not represent a patentable distinction over the art of record.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. (see PTO-892).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cao (Kevin) Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)272-4053. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (571)272-4048. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cao (Kevin) Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2173

01/17/05